	(1
1	THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. DAVID C. O'MARA, SBN 8599
2	311 E. Liberty Street
3	Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone: (775)323-1321
4	Facsimile: (775)323-4082 E-mail: david@omaralaw.net
5	2 maii davidagomaraiqw.net
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	IN RE ZAPPOS SECURITY BREACH) MDL: 2357 LITIGATION) Case No. 3:12-cv-00325-RCJ-VPC
9)
10	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DATE FOR
11	This document relates to:) PLAINTIFFS TO OPPOSE
12) DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ALL ACTIONS) DISMISS AND MOTION TO STRIKE
13) (THIRD DECLIEST)
14) (THIRD REQUEST)
15	On November 16, 2015, Defendant Zappos.com, Inc.'s ("Zappos" or "Defendant")
16	moved to dismiss (Docket No. 254) Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint Amended Complaint
17	and to strike the class actions allegations in the complaint (Docket No. 255) (Docket No. 254 and
18	Docket No. 255 are, collectively, "the Motions").
19	The parties met and conferred and stipulate to allow Plaintiffs up to, and including,
20	February 3, 2016, for the filing of Plaintiffs' responses to the Motions. Defendants will have
21	thirty (30) days to file a reply.
22	The parties previously stipulated to a thirty day extension for Zappos to file the Motions.
23	Docket No. 247. The parties also stipulated to an extension for Plaintiffs to file their opposition.
24	Docket No. 258 and Docket No. 261.
25	The instant extension is necessary so that the parties can meet and confer on the issue of
26	redacting any confidential statement made within the opposition briefs as required by the
27	protective order. Docket No. 93.
- 1	

(00274781.2

Case 3:12-cv-00325-RCJ-VPC Document 265 Filed 02/03/16 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiffs agree not to serve any new discovery requests while the Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike (the "Rule 12 Motions") are pending, except if the Court first determines that there is good cause for such new discovery. In no event, while the Rule 12 Motions are pending, will Plaintiffs file any motion relating in any way to any prior discovery requests (a "Prior Discovery Motion"); Defendant agrees it will not argue that any such Prior Discovery Motion is barred by reason of laches or undue delay by reason of its not being filed prior to decision on the Rule 12 Motions.

This is the second stipulation to extend Plaintiffs' time to respond to the Motions.

DATED: January 29, 2016

KAEMPFER CROWELL

THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C

By: /s/ Robert McCoy
Robert McCoy, No. 9121
1980 Festival Plaza Drive
Suite 650

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Las Vegas, NV 89135 Tel: 702,792,7000 By: /s/ David C. O'Mara
David C. O'Mara
311 East Liberty Street
Reno, Nevada 89501

ORDER

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DATED:__February 3, 2016.

{002747812}